

373.1

DOI 10.18413/2712-7451-2020-39-3-391-398

, 308015, . . . , 85
E-mail: Pereverzeva-A@yandex.ru

11

: . . 2020.
, 39 (3): 391-398. DOI 10.18413/2712-7451-2020-
39-3-391-398

Distance learning as an individual approach tool to the process of formation of educational mobility of schoolchildren

Alexandra S. Zakusilo

Belgorod National Research University,
85 Pobeda St, Belgorod, 308015, Russia
E-mail: Pereverzeva-A@yandex.ru

Abstract. The problem of individualization in learning is one of the most urgent in the modern education system. Various technologies and teaching methods are being tested and introduced that help to reveal the child's personal potential and form key social skills. One of the most effective tools for individualization is distance learning. Despite numerous publications on this issue, this format of work is implemented only

on experimental educational platforms, while the average Russian schools need a practical analysis of the results of activities and greater awareness of the effectiveness of distance learning. The purpose of the study is to analyze the results after the introduction of this format of work in secondary schools. To study the dynamics of formed competencies, a number of psycho-diagnoses were conducted among students of the final 11 class. As a result, the positive impact of distance learning on the cognitive motivation of students, on the level of their volitional self-regulation was revealed. The results obtained not only contribute to the development of the theory of distance learning, but also are valuable for practical implementation and testing.

Keywords: critical thinking, modular training, cognitive activity, individual approach, mobility.

For citation: Zakusilo A.S. 2020. Distance learning as an individual approach tool to the process of formation of educational mobility of schoolchildren. Issues in Journalism, Education, Linguistics, 39 (3): 391-398 (in Russian). DOI 10.18413/2712-7451-2020-39-3-391-398

XVIII

, 2018].

1969

XX [Elkins, Pinder, 2015].

()

2008].

[, , , 2010].

[, , , 2005].

, « » « » « », , 2016].

[Dirksen, 2015].



50

[, 2009].

2020

[., 2003].

[Muller, Murdoch, 2018].

[Boettcher, Conrad, 2016].

(. . . , . . .),

(. .),

(31)
36 .



, 2010], 1,

Table 1

The level of formation of cognitive activity

	11 « »	
	01.04.2020 (31)	25.05.2020 (31)
(0-19)	7 (23 %)	4 (13 %)
(20-34)	9 (29 %)	8 (26 %)
(35-42)	15 (48 %)	19 (61 %)

13 %

4 %

(10 %),

[, 2016].

2

[, , 1990].

« » « »

».



Level of volitional self-regulation

	02.04.2020 (31)			26.05.2020 (31)		
	56 %	61 %	58 %	62 %	65 %	62 %
	44 %	39 %	42 %	38 %	35 %	38 %

38 %

65 %

«

»

(

62 %

(35 %)

)

» (

52 % (16

« » « »).

74 %,

10. Elkins D., Finder D. 2015. E-Learning Fundamentals: A Practical Guide. Alexandria, VA, ATD Press, 176 p.
11. Dirksen J. 2015. Design for How People Learn. Series: Voices That Matter. New Riders, 304 p.
12. Mayer R.E. 2009. Multimedia Learning. Santa Barbara, University of California, 320 p.
13. Moreno R., Mayer R.E. 1999. Cognitive principles of multimedia learning: The Role of Modality and Contiguity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91 (2): 358 - 368.
14. Muller T., Murdoch M. 2018. The Learning Explosion: 9 Rules to Ignite Your Virtual Classrooms. Franklin Covey, 190 p.

References

1. Agaponov S.V., Dzhaliashvili Z.O., Krechman D.L., Nikiforov I.S., Chenosova E.S., Yurkov A.V. 2003. Sredstva distantsionnogo obucheniya. Metodika, tekhnologiya, instrumentariy [Means of distance learning. Methodology, technology, tools]. Ed. Z.O. Dzhaliashvili. SPb., Publ. BHV-Sankt-Peterburg, 336 p.
2. Andreeva N.V., Rozhdestvenskaya L.V., Yarmakov B.B. 2016. Shag shkoly v smeshannoe obuchenie [School step in blended learning]. Ed. N.V. Tret'yakova. M., Publ. Buki Vedi, 280 p.
3. Andreev A.A., Soldatkin V.I. 1999. Distantsionnoe obuchenie: sushchnost', tekhnologiya, organizatsiya [Distance Learning: Essence, Technology, Organization]. M., Publ. Izd-vo MESI, 196 p.
4. Gorshenina M.V., Firsova E.Yu. 2010. Realizatsiya printsipa individualizatsii v usloviyakh distantsionnogo obucheniya [The implementation of the principle of individualization in the conditions of distance learning]. *Vestnik of Samara State Technical University. The Series: Psychology and Pedagogics* 6: 41-47.
5. Polat E.S., Petrov A.E. 1999. Distantsionnoe obuchenie: kakim emu byt'? [Distance Learning: What Should It Be?] *Pedagogika*, 7: 29-34.
6. Robert I.V. 2005. Sovremennye informatsionnye tekhnologii v obrazovanii: didakticheskie problemy, perspektivy ispol'zovaniya [Modern information technologies in education: didactic problems, prospects for use]. Ed. I.V. Roberta. M., Publ. Shkola-Press, 205 p.
7. Sorokina N.D. 2009. Vnedrenie distantsionnogo obrazovaniya v vysshey shkole [Introduction of distance education in higher education]. *Sotsiologiya obrazovaniya*, 5: 51-60.
8. Fastova E.I., Ivanova O.L. 2018. Innovatsionnye pedagogicheskie tekhnologii. Keys uspeshnogo pedagoga [Innovative pedagogical technologies. Case of a successful teacher]. Eds. E.I. Fastovoy, G.P. Popovoy. M., Publ. Uchitel', 79 p.
9. Boettcher J., Conrad R.-M. 2016. The online teaching survival guide: simple and practical pedagogical tips. Jossey-Bass, 416 p.
10. Elkins D., Pinder D. 2015. E-Learning Fundamentals: A Practical Guide. Alexandria, VA, ATD Press, 176 p.
11. Dirksen J. 2015. Design for How People Learn. Series: Voices That Matter. New Riders, 304 p.
12. Mayer R.E. 2009. Multimedia Learning. Santa Barbara, University of California, 320 p.
13. Moreno R., Mayer R.E. 1999. Cognitive principles of multimedia learning: The Role of Modality and Contiguity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91 (2): 358-368.
14. Muller T., Murdoch M. 2018. The Learning Explosion: 9 Rules to Ignite Your Virtual Classrooms. Franklin Covey, 190 p.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE AUTHOR

,
 « »,
 « 36», .
 , - Alexandra S. Zakusilo, postgraduate student, Department of Pedagogy, Belgorod State National Research University, English teacher, MBOU
 , - "Secondary School No. 36", Belgorod, Russia